

Patient Safety Ratings
Patient Safety Excellence Award™
2020 Methodology

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Healthgrades Patient Safety Ratings & Patient Safety Excellence $\mathsf{Award}^{\scriptscriptstyle\mathsf{TM}}$ 1

Data Source and Applications 1

Eligibility for the 2020 Patient Safety Excellence Award™ 3

Determining Patient Safety Indicator Ratings 4

Designating 2020 Patient Safety Excellence Award™ Recipients 5

Limitations of the Data Analysis 5

Healthgrades Patient Safety Ratings & Patient Safety Excellence Award™

Patient safety ratings evaluate the safety and quality of care at a hospital by measuring the rate at which serious, potentially preventable complications and adverse events occurred in the hospital.

To evaluate hospital patient safety, Healthgrades uses inpatient data from the Medicare Provider Analysis and Review (MedPAR) file and the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality's (AHRQ) Patient Safety Indicators Technical Specifications and AHRQ WinQl software (version 2019.0.1). We analyze 14 AHRQ-defined patient safety indicators (PSIs), each of which represents a serious, potentially preventable complication.

This methodology includes descriptions of how Healthgrades:

- Determines patient safety ratings for each of 14 PSIs
- Determines which hospitals are eligible for the Patient Safety Excellence Award™
- Designates Patient Safety Excellence Award™ recipients

Data Source and Applications

Healthgrades uses Medicare inpatient data from the Medicare Provider Analysis and Review (MedPAR) file purchased from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). We evaluate all short-term acute care hospitals in the MedPAR file for three years (2016 through 2018 fiscal years). To process patient safety ratings, we utilize all available positions in the medical claim for diagnosis and procedure codes.

For 13 of the 14 indicators, Healthgrades utilized the AHRQ WinQI software, version 2019.0.1 to predict the number of patient safety incidents that are likely to occur at a hospital based on the types of patients treated at that hospital. More information about the AHRQ patient safety indicators (PSIs) and risk adjustment can be found at http://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Modules/psi_resources.aspx.

Table 1, provides, the AHRQ definition for each of the 14 AHRQ-defined patient safety indicators (PSIs) Healthgrades analyzes, as well as its respective description used in Healthgrades reports.

Table 1. Patient Safety Indicators and Translation

Patient Safety Indicator	Translated in Healthgrades Reports as	
Death Rate Among Surgical Inpatients With Serious Treatable Complications	Death following a serious complication after surgery	
Death Rate in Low-Mortality Diagnosis Related Groups (DRGs)	Death in procedures where mortality is usually very low	
Pressure Ulcer Rate	Pressure sores or bed sores acquired in the hospital	
latrogenic Pneumothorax Rate	Collapsed lung due to a procedure or surgery in or around the chest	
Central Venous Catheter-Related Bloodstream Infection Rate	Catheter-related bloodstream infections acquired at the hospital	
Postoperative Hip Fracture Rate	Hip fracture following surgery	
Postoperative Hemorrhage or Hematoma Rate	Excessive bruising or bleeding as a consequence of a procedure or surgery	
Postoperative Acute Kidney Injury Requiring Dialysis	Acute kidney dysfunction following surgery	
Postoperative Respiratory Failure Rate	Respiratory failure following surgery	
Postoperative Pulmonary Embolism or Deep Vein Thrombosis Rate	Deep blood clots in the lungs or legs following surgery	
Postoperative Sepsis Rate	Bloodstream infection following surgery	
Postoperative Wound Dehiscence Rate	Breakdown of abdominal incision site	
Accidental Puncture or Laceration Rate	Accidental cut, puncture, perforation or hemorrhage during medical care	
Retained Surgical Item or Unretrieved Device Fragment Count	Foreign objects left in body during a surgery or procedure (reported as number of events)	

For more details on the criteria for each individual PSI, please refer to the technical specifications documents available directly from AHRQ at http://www.qualityindicators.ahrq.gov/Modules/PSI_TechSpec.aspx

Eligibility for the 2020 Patient Safety Excellence Award™

To be eligible for the Healthgrades Patient Safety Excellence Award, a hospital must meet clinical quality thresholds, have zero occurrences of PSI Foreign Object Left During Surgery or Procedure, and have data on at least seven out of eight core PSIs.

- Clinical Quality Threshold.—To be eligible, a hospital must have been rated by Healthgrades and be in the top 80% of hospitals for clinical quality, as measured by volume weighted z-score across conditions and procedures evaluated using Medicare data. The volume weighted z-score is calculated from all rated cohorts for each facility. There is no minimum number of ratings for this evaluation. Other hospitals that have not been evaluated by Healthgrades that have patient safety data but no Healthgrades ratings are also eligible.
- Zero Occurrences of PSI Foreign Object Left During Surgery or Procedure Eligible hospitals must not have any occurrences of the PSI Foreign Object Left During Surgery or Procedure. A hospital is ineligible for this award if even one patient has experienced this adverse event.
- Data for Seven of Eight Core PSIs Healthgrades identifies a core set of eight PSIs that are serious,
 but potentially preventable, complications related to medical or surgical inpatient hospital care.
 Eligible hospitals may have data for all 14 PSIs, but they must have data for at least seven of the eight core PSIs (Table 2).
- Adequate sample size for statistical evaluation Eligible hospitals must have at least 15 patients evaluated across three years and five patients in the most recent year for seven of the eight core

Table 2. Healthgrades Core Set of Eight PSIs and Translation

Patient Safety Indicator	Translated in Healthgrades Reports as	
Pressure Ulcer Rate	Pressure sores or bed sores acquired in the hospital	
latrogenic Pneumothorax Rate	Collapsed lung due to a procedure or surgery in or around the chest	
Central Venous Catheter-Related Bloodstream Infection Rate	Catheter-related bloodstream infections acquired at the hospital	
Postoperative Hip Fracture Rate	Hip fracture following surgery	
Postoperative Sepsis Rate	Blood stream infection following surgery	
Postoperative Pulmonary Embolism or Deep Vein Thrombosis Rate	Deep blood clots in the lungs or legs following surgery	
Postoperative Wound Dehiscence Rate	Breakdown of abdominal incision site	
Accidental Puncture or Laceration Rate	Accidental cut, puncture, perforation or hemorrhage during medical care	

Determining Patient Safety Indicator Ratings

To determine ratings for 13 of the 14 AHRQ-defined patient safety indicators (PSIs) for each hospital, Healthgrades performs the following process:

- 1. Healthgrades uses the MedPAR data set for the most recent three fiscal years (2016 through 2018).
- 3. Healthgrades then uses the Medicare Case Mix Index (CMI), a hospital-level indicator provided by CMS annually that represents the seriousness of cases seen at each hospital. Higher CMI values indicate more seriously ill patients. With the often-higher risk of Medicare patients, the AHRQ WinQI software may under-adjust for large hospitals and hospitals with high CMI's. This CMI adjustment step accounts for differences in the AHRQ WinQI software model and Medicare patient data.
- 4. For each year of data, Healthgrades stratifies hospitals into eight CMI groups according to their CMS supplied case mix index for that year. The groups are displayed in Table 3.
- 5. For each CMI group, Healthgrades adjusts the AHRQ-QI supplied predicted values so the actual-to-predicted ratio for each PSI is equal to 1, for each CMI group.
- 6. The patient-level actual and CMI-predicted rates are then combined across the three years of data for each facility. A patient-level Hosmer-Lemeshow variance is calculated and aggregated across all patients for each hospital and PSI.
- 7. A z-score is calculated for each hospital and PSI.
- Healthgrades then translates the z-score to a patient safety, rating based on evaluated 90% confidence interval for that PSI.

Table 3.

Case Mix Index Groups

Case Mix Index	Case Mix Index Group
0.05 < CMI <= 1.25	1
1.25 < CMI <= 1.35	2
1.35 < CMI <= 1.45	3
1.45 < CMI <= 1.55	4
1.55 < CMI <= 1.65	5
1.65 < CMI <= 1.75	6
1.75 < CMI <= 1.90	7
CMI > 1.90	8

- 9. Performance for each PSI rating is grouped into one of three Healthgrades performance categories:
 - **Better Than Expected** Actual performance was better than predicted and the difference was statistically significant at alpha = 0.1.
 - As Expected Actual performance was not statistically significantly different from what was predicted at alpha = 0.1.
 - • Worse Than Expected Actual performance was worse than predicted and the difference was statistically significant at alpha = 0.1.

When a hospital is not rated by Healthgrades in an individual PSI, it means the hospital did not have at least 15 patients in three years and/or five patients in the most recent year that were eligible to be evaluated for that PSI.

*Note: One additional PSI (Foreign Object Left During Surgery or Procedure) differs from the other 13 PSIs because it is an event that should never happen—referred to by AHRQ as a "never event." For this indicator, we report the number of events from 2016 through 2018 where a foreign object was left in a patient during a surgery or procedure.

Designating 2020 Patient Safety Excellence Award™ Recipients

To recognize hospitals that provide excellent patient safety, Healthgrades uses the following process:

- 1. Healthgrades creates a composite patient safety z-score by calculating a weighted average z-score using the sum of the 13 individual PSI z-scores and the total number of patients evaluated for each PSI as the weight. (See above for details on how Healthgrades produces a z-score for each individual PSI.)
- 2. Healthgrades identifies those hospitals in the top 10% based on volume weighted z-score. Those hospitals are designated as Patient Safety Excellence Award recipients.

Limitations of the Data Analysis

While these analyses may be valuable in identifying hospitals that perform better than others, one should not use this information alone to determine the quality of care provided at each hospital. Also, note that if more than one hospital reported to CMS under a single provider ID, Healthgrades analyzed patient safety data for those hospitals as a single unit. Throughout this document, therefore, "hospital" refers to one hospital or a group of hospitals reporting under a single provider ID.

The analyses are limited by the following factors:

- The AHRQ WinQI software contains risk-adjustment models that are not part of Healthgrades methodology. Risk factors for patient safety, therefore, are weighted and accounted for through the AHRQ WinQI software.
- Cases may have been coded incorrectly or incompletely by the hospital.
- Healthgrades creation of patient safety ratings can only account for risk factors that are coded into the billing data. Therefore, if a particular risk factor was not coded into the billing data (such as a patient's socioeconomic status and health behavior), then it was not accounted for.
- Although Healthgrades has taken steps to carefully compile these data, no techniques are infallible; therefore, some information may be missing, outdated or incorrect.
- For the Patient Safety Indicator Accidental Puncture or Laceration Rate, the restriction of two procedures being at least a day apart could not be included given the lack of procedure dates in the Medicare inpatient data from the Medicare Provider Analysis and Review (MedPAR) file.